#### **Journal of Health Literacy**

#### 108

## Critical Health-literacy of Working-age Indonesians living with Early-stage Chronic Kidney Disease: A Cross-sectional Study

#### ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Working-age living with chronic kidney disease requires a sufficient level of health-literacy to enable them to sustain their productivity and manage the progressivity of their disease. However, the paucity continues in terms of critical health-literacy. This study aims to examine health-literacy of working-age living with early-stage chronic kidney disease (CKD).

Materials and Methods: A total of 226 working-age living with early-stage CKD was recruited from 63 Public Health Centres in the second biggest city of Indonesia. The Mandarin Multidimensional Health Literacy Questionnaire (MMHLQ) was used to measure the level of health literacy. Descriptive and inferential statistics were performed to analyse data. This study adhered to the EQUATOR checklist, STROBE.

Results: An average age of the participants was 56.61 years (standard deviation [SD] = 7.48), whereas the estimated glomerular filtration rate was 63.45 mL/min/1.73 m2 (SD = 15.34). A cut point of high health-literacy is above 34.00. This study found out of 10 individuals, six (61.95%) reported low levels of health-literacy with an average level of 32.11 (SD = 4.46). Of five dimensions of health-literacy; obtaining, understanding, evaluating, and applying health information were below the adequate level while communication and interaction exhibited a sufficient level.

Conclusion: Factors that influence health-literacy were further characterized by low levels of education and low income. High rates of poor health-literacy among working-age patients with early-stage chronic kidney disease are deemed threatening. The lack of support toward elevating the levels of health-literacy may threaten the severity of the disease and shorten the period of productivity. A group which was characterised by low levels of education and income need to be supported by a simply accessible, understandable, and applicable of healthliteracy program. Future studies examine novel factors, such as measuring healthliteracy by specific health-literacy instruments and potential impact of multidisciplinary teams on the multifaceted aspects of health-literacy is warranty. **Paper Type:** Research Article

Keywords: Chronic Kidney Disease, Health-literacy, Health Promotion, Health Risks, Working-age.

**Citation:** Suarilah I, Lin C-C. Critical Health-literacy of Working-age Indonesians living with Early-stage Chronic Kidney Disease: A Cross-sectional Study. *Journal of Health Literacy*. Summer 2025; 10(3): 108-119.

Ira Suarilah

\* Faculty of Nursing, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia. (Corresponding Author) ira.suarilah@fkp.unair.ac.id Chiu-Chu Lin

College of Nursing, Kaohsiung Medical University, Taiwan.

Department of Renal Care, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, Taiwan.

Department of Medical Research, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Taiwan.

Received: 07 December 2024 Accepted: 05 April 2025 Doi: 10.22038/jhl.2025.83877.1664

#### Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) has predicted a global increase in mortality to 14% due to chronic kidney disease (CKD) by 2030 (WHO, 2018). In the United States of America (USA), 15% of adults are estimated to have (1). Likewise, a rapid increase in the prevalence of CKD has been reported annually by the Indonesia Renal Registry (IRR). In 2018, 132,142 Indonesians were diagnosed with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (2). Of those with reduced kidney function who are not receiving dialysis are predicted to be unaware of the disease (3). Less awareness among this vulnerable population might relate to their ability to gain health-information and critically applied daily.

Poor health-literacy among adults living with CKD has been enormously examined to be connected to poor health outcomes (4). A study reported the health-literacy of patients in five different stages of CKD, that the level of health-literacy was not optimal (5) and that poor health-literacy is associated with a low level of EGFR (4, 6). A program to delay the progress of CKD through screening the level of health-literacy has been proposed as the first-line treatment on every new patient with early-stage CKD. Although previous studies reported low health-literacy as common among patients with CKD (7, 8) several limitations were identified: they did not focus on early-stage CKD (9), were not specific on working-age individuals (10), limited to functional health-literacy (11) and most those studies determining health-literacy in CKD population were conducted in developed countries that different are given demographic characteristics.

According to Nutbeam (2012), healthliteracy skill refers to the individual's ability to seek, process, understand, and apply necessary information regarding their health. In this study, health-literacy is referred to the cognitive and social skills of individuals to access, understand, appraise, apply, communicate, and interact (12). Considering the above-mentioned health-literacy, theoretically, it is assumed that working-age individuals living with early-stage CKD with greater multifaceted health-literacy are able to perform well in many aspects of their daily life.

Measuring health-literacy is not merely focusing on health-related numeracy and reading skills. A functional health-literacy instrument was not able to evaluate individual's ability to understand the consequences of actions they made (13). To this point, an instrument assessment tool is required to comprehensively determine an individual's health-literacy. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate five dimensions of health-literacy among working-age individuals living with early-stage CKD and explore the associated factors.

## Materials and Methods Study design and participants

The present study applied a cross-sectional design and used a self-report questionnaire. Participants were recruited following criteria: working age of 18 to 65 years as stated on Balai Pusat Statistik (BPS), a national body for the Indonesia demographics data (14), primary diagnosis of early-stage CKD for a minimum of 6 months, ability to read and write in Bahasa Indonesia, willingness to participate, and signed informed consent. This study adhered to enhancing the quality

**109** 

and transparency of health research (EQUATOR) check list through the strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for cross-sectional study (15)( Supporting information 1).

#### Sampling

A simple convenience sampling was applied due the population of patients with earlystage CKD in Indonesia is unknown. A G\*Power version 3.1.9.2 to estimate the sample size was applied. The statistical test to be conducted is linear multiple regression, were set power analysis with a standard alpha ( $\alpha$ ) level = 0.05, medium effect size = 0.15, and standard power = 0.85. ON the basis of these data, the researchers estimated the sample size using the F-test, where the effect size would target increases in R2 or R2 deviation from zero. According to the results of (8), an estimation sample size of 187 was appropriate for analysis. Finally, 226 patients with early-stage CKD were joined with this study, and it was given a statistical power of 0.90.

### **Data collection**

Data were collected during the pandemic COVID-19 (June to September 2020). A formal written permission was sent to the 63 heads of the public health centers to enable the collection of data for using Google Forms. Patients who agreed to participate, signed the provided written informed consent and completed the questionnaire.

### **Instrument and measurement**

This study used the Mandarin Multidimensional Health-Literacy Questionnaire (MMHLQ). With a total of 20 questions, the questionnaire consists of five dimensions, as follows: accessing (items 1–4), understanding (5–8), appraising (9–12), and applying (13–16) health information, and communication and interaction (17–20). The items are rated using a four-point Likert-type scale (1 = very difficult; 2 = difficult; 3 = easy; and 4 = very easy). High scores above 34.00 represent high levels of health-literacy (12).

The stage of CKD was diagnosed following the criteria of the IRR 2017 where kidney function was estimated using the CKD Epidemiology Collaboration and the creatinine equation was used to determine the estimated glomerular filtration rate. Early-stage CKD was categorized as estimated glomerular filtration rate ≥45 mL/min × 1.73 m2 for a minimum of 3 months. The most current levels of estimated glomerular filtration rate were retrieved from the medical records of the participants.

A self-report questionnaire was developed to obtain the demographic data of the participants, such as age, sex, level of education, level of family income, family history, and comorbidity. The number of comorbidities was presented according to the Davies Comorbidity Index, which was originally developed to predict the risk of hospitalization and mortality among individuals with CKD. The Davies Comorbidity Index was scored as follows: 0 = no comorbidity, 1 = one or two comorbidities, and 2 = three or more comorbidities (16).

#### **Data analysis**

Data analysis was performed in descriptive analyses and inferential analyses. The IBM Statistical Package version 25.0 for the Social Sciences was applied to conduct the statistical measures. Descriptive statistics, which include ranges, mean, SD, and frequencies, were appropriately used to analyze all data before determining the correlations of the independent and dependent variables. Stepwise multiple linear regression analysis was performed to identify the association between health-literacy scales as dependent and independent variables. Significance level was set at p-value < 0.05.

### Results

## Demographic characteristics and kidney function of patients with early-stage chronic kidney disease

A total of 226 participants (mean age:  $56.61 \pm$  7.48 years; female = 66.37%) completed the

self-report questionnaire (Table 1). The majority attained an education of up to 12 years or less (84.96%). Among the participants who undertook an education of 9 years and higher, 62.13% presented low levels of health-literacy. The majority of participants (95.13%) reported a monthly family income of IDR 4,200,479.19 = US\$ 289.694 or lower (~US\$244.8). More than half (65.04%) reported a family history of comorbidity. Moreover, 94.7% reported living with one or two comorbidities and 140 (61.95%) reported low levels of health-literacy.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics o	i the Pa	articipan	ts and Lev	ver of hea	anti-Literacy (	N – 220j
Variables	N	%	Mean	SD	Level of Health Literacy	
					Low	High
GFR (mL/minute)		63.45	15.34			
Age			56.61	7.48		
31–39	10	4.42			6	4
40–49	24	10.62			12	12
50–59	91	40.27			58	33
60–65	101	44.69			64	37
Gender				0.473		
Male	76	33.63			47	29
Female	150	66.37			93	57
Level of Education			2.29	1.093		
6 years of education	77	34.07			55	22
9 years of education	40	17.7			26	14
12 years of education	75	33.19			39	36
College/University	34	15.04			20	14
Monthly family income*			1.05	0.216		
Less than the minimum regional wage	215	95.13			135	80
Equal to or more than the minimum regional wage	11	4.87			5	6
Family history of comorbidity			0.65	0.478		
No	79	34.96			55	24
Yes	147	65.04			85	62
Comorbidity (DCI** Score)		0.99	0.231			
No	9	3.98			5	4
One or two comorbidities	212	93.8			130	82
More than three comorbidities	5	2.2			4	1

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Participants and Level of Health-Literacy (N = 226)

\*Minimum standard regional payment of Surabaya 2020 (IDR 4,200,479,19 = ~US\$ 289.69)

\*\*Davies Comorbidity Index (DCI); CKD, chronic kidney disease; SD, standard deviation; GFR, glomerular filtration rate.

# Level of Health-Literacy and the Five Dimensions

The five dimensions for the measurement of health-literacy consist of four items per dimension. Each dimension was presented as mean and SD, whereas a total of 20 items was revealed by mean score (Table 2). Mean scores of <34.00 and >34.00 were categorized as inadequate and adequate levels of health-literacy, respectively. The scores for the 20 items ranged from 22.83 to 37.52.

In sequence, first of the five dimensions of health-literacy is access to health information (31.66, SD = 7.94). However, none of the four items reached the adequate level despite one item, which nearly reached the adequate level (33.99), that is, the ability to seek further information after receiving a health report.

The second dimension is understanding health information (33.85, SD = 6.23). Three of the four items exceeded the adequate level, whereas one item did not (the ability to understand the explanations of healthcare professionals [31.79]). Nevertheless, the mean scores for the three items were above the adequate level. Thus, the mean score for the second dimension remained inadequate.

In terms of evaluation health information (28.52, SD = 6.07), no item reached the adequate level. In other words, all four items displayed low scores, where the lowest was noted for the ability to determine health information on the Internet (22.83).

The fourth is applying health information at 31.58 (SD = 6.46). One item was found to be the above adequate level (the ability to use health information to select a treatment method: 34.14), whereas the rest was below the adequate level. The last dimension is communication and interaction (34.86, SD = 7.42). All four items under this dimension exceeded the adequate level. Moreover, out of the 20 items, the highest score was noted for the ability to ask questions from health professionals (37.52).

Regarding the items, eight out of the 20 (40%) scored >34.00, namely, (1) following the instructions of healthcare professionals in terms of disease management, (2)understanding the explanation of healthcare professionals, (3) following the instructions on the medicine package, (4) using health information to select a treatment method, (5) communicating with physicians regarding preferred examination or treatment methods, (6) reassuring health professionals that one's understanding of a medical order is correct, (7) discussing treatment methods with physicians, and (8) asking questions from health professionals.

The mean scores for the first to fourth dimensions were similar. The inadequate level of these dimensions indicates that the participants were unable to perform the tasks associated with health-literacy abilities. The third dimension; evaluation of health information, displayed the lowest level of health-literacy. Nevertheless, the highest score for the item "the ability to communicate and interact" under the third dimension reached the adequate level.

## Critical Health-literacy and Associated Factors

Stepwise linear regression was applied to determine the influencing factors of healthliteracy (Table 3). Five sociodemographic variables (level of education, monthly family income, family history of comorbidity, comorbidity, and outcome variables) and health-literacy and its four dimensions (obtaining, understanding, evaluating, and applying health information), and communication and interaction were added to the regression model.

A statistically significant influence of at least one or more of the dimensions of health-literacy on two factors, namely, level of education and family income. Nevertheless, the four factors did not exert a significant influence on understanding, evaluating, and applying health information. Factors with significant results (p < .05) were level of education and family income. In other words, level of education exerted an influence on the total health-literacy; obtaining health information; and communication and interaction. Moreover, monthly income was an influencing factor for obtaining health information.

Table 2. Level of Childan Health-Literacy					
Dimensions (possible range)	Mean (SD)	ltem	Mean		
Obtaining health information (4–16)	Finding knowledge about diseases is				
	21 ((7 04)	Obtaining information about health care for daily living is	33.11		
	31.00(7.94)	Searching for health-related information I need on the Internet is			
		After receiving my health report, further gathering information is			
Understanding health 33.85 information (6.23) (4–16)		Understanding the description on the medicine package is			
	33.85	Following healthcare professionals' instructions to take care of the disease is	31.79		
	(6.23)	Understanding healthcare professionals' explanation is			
		Following the instructions on the medicine package when taking medicine is			
Evaluating health 28.52 information (6.07) (4–16)		Determining whether the health information obtained is able solve the health problem is			
	28.52	Determining whether the health information obtained is suitable for me is			
	(6.07)	Determining whether the health information obtained is consistent with other information is	28.63		
		Determining whether the health information on the Internet is reliable is	22.83		
Applying health information (4–16) 31.58 (6.46)		Using health information to understand changes in medical condition is	31.79		
	31.58	Using health information to prepare to face disease is			
	(6.46)	Using health information to understand the results of a health report is			
		Using health information to select a treatment method is	34.14		
Communication and interaction (4–16)	34.86 (7.42)	Telling the doctor, the examination or treatment method that I want is			
		Reassuring health professionals whether my own understanding of medical order is correct is			
		Discussing with the doctor about the treatment method is	36.34		
		Asking health professionals when I have a question is	37.52		

#### Table 2. Level of Critical Health-Literacy

Outcomes	g factors of health-literacy among w Influencing factors	β	S.E.	Т	р	Adj R2	F
Total health literacy	Constant	27.78	1.64	16.90	.000		3.99
	Level of education	0.83	0.28	2.97	.003		
	Household income	1.76	1.39	1.26	.209	0.05	
	Family history of comorbidity	0.67	0.62	1.09	.276		
	Comorbidity	0.07	0.27	0.25	.805		
	Constant	22.23	2.88	7.71	.000	0.08	5.76
Obtaining health information	Level of education	1.38	0.49	2.83	.005		
	Household income	6.14	2.44	2.51	.013		
mormation	Family history of comorbidity	1.13	1.08	1.05	.295		
	Comorbidity	-0.39	0.47	-0.85	.399		
	Constant	32.12	2.36	13.60	.000	-0.01	0.72
Understanding	Level of education	0.54	0.40	1.35	.178		
health information	Household income	-0.38	2.00	-0.19	.849		
	Family history of comorbidity	0.08	0.88	0.09	.927		
	Comorbidity	0.36	0.38	0.95	.342		
	(Constant)	22.64	2.28	9.95	.000	0.02	2.06
	Level of education	0.36	0.39	0.94	.346		
-	Household income	3.02	1.93	1.56	.119		
mormation	Family history of comorbidity	1.08	0.85	1.27	.205		
Evaluating health information Evaluating health information Evaluating health information Family history of com Comorbidity (Constant)	Comorbidity	0.51	0.37	1.40	.163		
	(Constant)	31.44	2.45	12.82	.000		0.60
Applying boolth	Level of education	0.52	0.42	1.26	.210		
Applying health information	Household income	-1.56	2.08	-0.75	.455	-0.01	
	Family history of comorbidity	-0.15	0.92	-0.16	.874		
	Comorbidity	0.29	0.40	0.74	.459		
Communication -	(Constant)	30.30	2.74	11.05	.000		3.56
	Level of education	1.31	0.46	2.83	.005		
and interaction	Household income	1.65	2.32	0.71	.479	0.04	
	Family history of comorbidity	1.22	1.03	1.18	.238		
	Comorbidity	-0.42	0.44	-0.94	.347		

Table 3. Influencing factors of health-literacy among working-age individuals living with early-stage CKD

 $\alpha$  = .05; Std  $\beta$  = standard beta coefficient; S.E. = standard error; Adj.R<sup>2</sup> = adjusted R<sup>2</sup>

The five factors add Adj R2 = 0.05% ( $\beta$  = 27.78) on total health-literacy (Adj R2 = 0.08%;  $\beta$  = 22.23); on obtaining (Adj R2 = 0.01%;  $\beta$  = 32.12), understanding (Adj R2 = 0.02%), evaluating (Adj R2 = 0.01%;  $\beta$  = 22.64%), and applying ( $\beta$  = 31.44) health information; and on communication and interaction (Adj R2 = 0.04%;  $\beta$  = 30.30). The value for Adj R2 is considered 0. The effect sizes depict extremely small to small

differences in health-literacy. Given the value or the independent variable, it is completely unable to explain the variance of the influencing factors.

#### Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first that investigated the critical healthliteracy of patients with early-stage CKD in a developing country, where the working-age population is dominant. The demographic

114

characteristics provided evidence that patients with early-stage CKD were dominantly aged from 31 to 59 years, were female, with a level of education of <12 years, living with a monthly family income that ranges under the minimum regional family payment, with а history of comorbidity, living with one and/or two chronic disease(s), and with low levels of health-literacy.

Current study found that participants aged 31-65 years with decreased kidney function were considered a vulnerable population. Given the criteria of the Indonesia Renal Registry and the National Kidney Foundation, the current mean estimated glomerular filtration rate of 63.45 mL/min/1.73 m2 (SD, 15.34) indicates CKD at stage 2. This threatening condition is relevant to the World Bank (2017) report on the mortality of Indonesian individuals with noncommunicable diseases aged 30 to 70 years. The mortality rate of this population was 26.4%, whereas the global level was only 18.8% (17).

#### Level of Critical Health-Literacy

Two out of five working-age patients with early-stage CKD were living with low levels of health-literacy. This condition increases health risks and hinders the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals, that is, to ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages. Among the participants with low levels of health-literacy, 14.29% attained college/university levels. This result underlined the formulation of a specific strategy for increasing health-literacy that may differ from groups with low levels of education.

Communication and interaction were the only dimension that reached an adequate level in the moderate point. Asking questions from health professionals scored the highest. The study infers that patients may have been mediocre in their relationship with healthcare providers due to previous disease(s), such as hypertension and diabetes. As such, confidence in communicating and interacting with healthcare professionals resulted in asking other disease-related questions. However, an increased ability in with communicating and interacting healthcare professionals contradicts the ability to obtain health information. The early-stage CKD patient's best ability on communication and interaction did not influence the other dimensions.

The greatest challenge faced by workingage patients with early-stage CKD was evaluating health information. This dimension reflected the ability to critically appraise health information retrieved online (i.e., the Internet) and offline. Evaluating health information denoted a transition phase that required a critical thinking ability to link the two previous dimensions, namely, and understanding health obtaining information to everyday application. Being unable to evaluate health information results in the decreased ability to apply health information received in everyday life.

Additionally, the two abilities with the lowest scores were searching for healthrelated information from the Internet (obtaining health information) and determining whether health information on the Internet is reliable (evaluating health information). This condition presents the most updated condition, where working-age patients with early-stage CKD expressed difficulties in terms of the usability of health information on the Internet. Living in urban areas, where people are more reliant on Internet connection, represents neither the ability to search for the required healthrelated information nor the ability to appraise the health information retrieved.

# Critical Health-literacy and Associated Factors

This study yielded the overall effect sizes depict extremely small to small differences in the dimensions of health-literacy across demographic characteristics. Moreover, none of the factors were able to examine the dimensions of understanding, evaluating, and applying health information. The absence of the influencing factors in the three dimensions of health-literacy may be related to the fact that health-literacy is a dynamic and sequence process, where learning theory and use; belief; attitudes; and attention are critical factors in the adoption of the health information system (18). However, these factors remained unexplored in this study.

Concerning the individual's side, this study found that the level of education was an existing influencing factor for total healthliteracy and communication and interaction. Although family income was found as the sole influencing factor for obtaining health information, acquiring further education, especially at >12 years, does provide individuals with greater literacy abilities, which are beneficial to many aspects of daily life. Moreover, many of these abilities could be applied to the current health situation. Previous studies reported that an education of >12 years was a determining factor of the high levels of health-literacy (19). High levels of education remarkably improved employment opportunities and increased pay received. This aspect may be a likely encouragement that enables individuals to be actively involved in terms of exposure to health information and attainment of more abilities related to health-literacy.

Nevertheless, low levels of health-literacy among individuals who attained an education of nine years and above were relevant with evaluation of health information. The current study found that graduating from university does not guarantee high levels of healthliteracy. This result indicates that graduates they were good in literacy skills, such as reading words and counting numbers, but weak in terms of critical thinking. Less ability on evaluating health information resulted in the inability to decide and transforming health information into behavior. In addition, less formal education and low levels of family income are the current situations of workingage patients living with early-stage CKD in Indonesia. Presently, the participants were a result of the government policy in Indonesia regarding the compulsory application of 12 years of education across the decades. Nevertheless, scholars had been argued

Health - literacy to be equated with educational attainment alone (20, 21). Low health-literacy might relate to living in urban area with a monthly family income of IDR 4,200,479.19 (approximately US\$289.69) was relatively insufficient. Few families are living with single and/or double incomes above this level. As such, these conditions result in the difficulties of the participants in obtaining high levels of health-literacy. These matches of low education attained and low income lead a barrier to higher level of health-literacy.

Low health-literacy among urban Indonesians living with early CKD depicts the role of public health centers in primary care, which are collocated, and, thus, enables increased communication and interaction. These abilities should be power-driven to improve another weak dimension. Formulating a strategy on communication and interaction between patients with earlystage CKD and healthcare professionals is necessary to consider varying inequalities in health-literacy abilities (22, 23). For an individual to understand how to care for themselves and their family, practitioners and healthcare agencies must give health information to the individual in such a way that it is understood by that individual. Therefore, professional allied health services in primary care should develop the cyclical process of teaching and learning sessions. A series of educational programs tailored according to a multidimensional assessment of health-literacy may enable health professionals to deliver health information within a given cultural context.

**Study Limitations and Strengths:** This study has several strengths, including the large sample of individuals living with earlystage chronic kidney in productive age, and recruited in the second biggest city of Indonesia. It was one of the first studies to examine critical health-literacy in developing country with a high prevalence of CKD. A critical health-literacy instrument was applied to measure five dimensions given a better description on targeted community programs aims to develop the level of knowledge of people living with CKD.

However, due to the restrictions of the COVID-19 pandemic, as data collection began in the early onset of the pandemic, regular health programs have ceased for months. Many participants experienced financial problems which may have influenced their personal and familv income. Thus. questionnaires applied in this study were selfreported and might result in less objectivity. Finally, the analysis was based on crosssectional data, meaning causality cannot be established. It is likely that some associations were bidirectional.

## Conclusion

The level of multiple dimensions of healthliteracy presents a clear indication of the vulnerability of working-age patients with early-stage CKD. Out of the five dimensions of health-literacy, communication and interaction are the only dimensions that reached adequate levels. The most challenging dimension of health-literacy was evaluating health information which reflects an inadequate critical thinking ability, and resulted in difficulties in deciding and transferring health information into behavior. A group which was characterized by low levels of education and income need to be supported by а simply accessible, understandable, and applicable healthliteracy program. Therefore, health programs for increasing health should include an early comprehensive assessment of health-literacy before the delivery of intervention is alarming. Future studies should examine novel factors, such as measuring healthspecific literacy by health-literacy instruments and potential impact of multidisciplinary teams on the multifaceted aspects of health-literacy.

Acknowledgement: The authors are grateful to all participants who participated in this study and the research assistants for their assistance.

**Availability of data and materials:** The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Ethical approval and consent to participate: This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia (IRB Approval Number: 1863-KEPK) also this study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study. Participants were informed about the study's purpose, procedures, potential risks, and benefits, and they were assured that their data would be kept confidential and handled ethically.

**Funding:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Author's contributions:** IS, CCL conceptualized and designed the study, IS collected the data and analyze, IS, CCL interpreted and drafted the manuscript. All the authors critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version for submission.

#### References

- Chronic kidney disease in the United States [Internet].
  2019 [cited 31 August 2020]. Available from: https://fluoridealert.org/studytracker/38332/.
- 2- Indonesia Renal Registry. 11th Report of Indonesian Renal Registry 2018 [Available from: https:// www.indonesianrenalregistry.org/data/IRR%202018.pd f.
- 3- Wong KK, Velasquez A, Powe NR, Tuot DS. Association between health literacy and self-care behaviors among patients with chronic kidney disease. BMC Nephrology. 2018;19(1):N.PAG-N.PAG. https://doi.org/10.1186/s 12882-018-0988-0 PMid:30081951 PMCid: PMC 6091174.
- 4- Musavi Ghahfarokhi M, Tartifizadeh H, Tartifizadeh H, Asakereh S, Eskandari N, Kogani M. Relationship between the Level of Health Literacy, Diet Adherence and Dialysis Adequacy in Patients Undergoing Dialysis. Journal of Health Literacy. 2024;9(2):106-18.
- 5- Taylor DM, Fraser SD, Bradley JA, Bradley C, Draper H, Metcalfe W, et al. A Systematic Review of the Prevalence and Associations of Limited Health Literacy in CKD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017;12:1070-84. https://doi.org/10. 2215/CJN.12921216 PMid:28487346 PMCid:PMC 5498363.
- 6- Devraj R, Borrego ME, Vilay AM, Pailden J, Horowitz B. Awareness, self-management behaviors, health literacy and kidney function relationships in specialty practice. World journal of nephrology. 2018;7(1):41-50. https://doi.org/10.5527/wjn.v7.i1.41 PMid:29359119 PMCid:PMC5760511.
- 7- Griva K, Yoong RK, Nandakumar M, Rajeswari M, Khoo EY, Lee VY, et al. Associations between health literacy and health care utilization and mortality in patients with coexisting diabetes and end-stage renal disease: A prospective cohort study. British Journal of Health Psychology. 2020;25(3):405-27. https://doi.org/10.1111 /bjhp. 12413 PMid:32304286.
- Stømer UE, Gøransson LG, Wahl AK, Urstad KH. A crosssectional study of health literacy in patients with chronic kidney disease: Associations with demographic and clinical variables. Nursing open. 2019;6(4):1481-90. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.350
   PMid:31660176
   PMCid: PMC 6805284.
- 9- Stømer UE, Wahl AK, Gøransson LG, Urstad KH. Exploring health literacy in patients with chronic kidney disease: a qualitative study. BMC Nephrology. 2020;21(1):1-9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-020-01973-9 PMid: 32727397 PMCid:PMC 7392653.
- 10- Chen Y-C, Chang L-C, Liu C-Y, Ho Y-F, Weng S-C, Tsai T-I. The Roles of Social Support and Health Literacy in Self-Management Among Patients With Chronic Kidney Disease. Journal of Nursing Scholarship. 2018;50(3):265-75. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.12377 PMid:29569423.
- 11- Taylor DM, Fraser S, Dudley C, Oniscu GC, Tomson C, Ravanan R, et al. Health literacy and patient outcomes in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review. Nephrology

Dialysis Transplantation. 2018;33(9):1545-58. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfx293 PMid:29165627.

- 12- Wei M-H, Wang Y-W, Chang M-C, Hsieh J-G. Development of mandarin multidimensional health literacy questionnaire (MMHLQ). Taiwan Gong Gong Wei Sheng Za Zhi. 2017;36(6):556.
- Altin SV, Finke I, Kautz-Freimuth S, Stock S. The evolution of health literacy assessment tools: a systematic review.
   BMC Public Health. 2014;14:1207. https://doi.org/10.
   1186/1471-2458-14-1207 PMid:25418011 PMCid: PMC 4289240.
- 14- Badan Pusat Statistik. Indonesia Population 2019 [cited 2019 13 November 2019]. Available from: https://www.bps.go.id/.
- 15- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Bull World Health Organ. 2007;85(11):867-72. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.07.045120 PMid:18038077 PMCid:PMC2636253.
- 16- Davies SJ, Russell L, Bryan J, Phillips L, Russell GI. Comorbidity, urea kinetics, and appetite in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients: their interrelationship and prediction of survival. American journal of kidney diseases. 1995;26(2):353-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-6386(95)90657-6 PMid: 7645541.
- 17- World-Bank. World Development Indicators 2017 [updated Available from: https://databank.worldbank. org/reports.aspx?source=2&series=SH.DYN.NCOM.ZS&c ountry=WLD.
- 18- Ancker JS, Grossman LV, Benda NC. Health Literacy 2030: Is It Time to Redefine the Term? Journal of general internal medicine. 2020;35(8):2427-30. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11606-019-05472-y PMid:31659662 PMCid: PMC 7403287
- 19- Dinh HT, Nguyen NT, Bonner A. Health literacy profiles of adults with multiple chronic diseases: A cross-sectional study using the Health Literacy Questionnaire. Nursing & Health Sciences. 2020;22(4):1153-60. https://doi.org /10.1111/nhs.12785 PMid:33034404.
- 20- 20. Sykes S, Wills J, Rowlands G, Popple K. Understanding critical health literacy: a concept analysis. BMC public health. 2013;13(1):1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-150 PMid:23419015 PMCid:PMC3583748.
- 21- Nutbeam D, Lloyd JE. Understanding and responding to health literacy as a social determinant of health. Annual Review of Public Health. 2021;42. https://doi.org/10. 1146/annurev-publhealth-090419-102529 PMid: 33035427.
- 22- Lin C-C, Hwang S-J. Patient-Centered Self-Management in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease: Challenges and Implications. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020;17(24):9443. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249443 PMid: 3333 9300 PMCid:PMC7766278.
- 23- Priyanto KE, Sutrisno S, Effendy MA. Health Promotion Strategies in Integrated Healthcare Program Park of

Public Health Center. Jurnal Ners. 2020;15(2 SpecialIssue):563-8.https://doi.org/10.20473/jn.v15i1Sp.20544.